

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,563		07/18/2003	Andrew S. Janczak	6024	1329
6858	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	ER & BREI		ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
115 NOF P. O. BO	TH HENRY X 19290	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXA	NDRIA, VA	22314	1761		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
	10/621,563	JANCZAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,17-25,28 and 29</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-16,26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	е
application from the International Bureau	` ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 17, 21, 23, 24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burns.

There is disclosed in Burns a magnetic device, comprising: two semi-cylindrical halves 14, 16, each having a fastening end and a grasping end; a spring mechanism 18 connecting the halves; a plurality of magnets 40 arranged in the semi-cylindrical halves; a cushioning layer 24, 26 on an inner surface; and two tabs formed on each of the halves, the tabs being formed by semi-circular cut-outs formed in the halves.

In regards to the use of the device to magnetically treat beverages (claim 1) and to be fastened to a neck of a bottle (claim 17), such use is intended only and provides no structural limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 18-20, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns.

The arrangement of the magnets into three columns is not shown in Burns. Burns discloses a single column of magnets. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form three columns of magnets, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-16, 26 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Holcomb et al. and Shirdavani are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,563

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla July 12, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4